

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/863,326	CHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Justin I. King	2111	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Justin I. King (USPTO Personnel).

(3) _____.

(2) Benjamin Ercia (Reg. 33,805).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

This is a courtesy phone call to confirm whether Applicant has filed any responses.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

MARK H. RINEHART
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)